

FILED

MAY 13 2019

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
SUSAN Y. SOONG
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IGNACIO PEREZ, ET AL.,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

RASH CURTIS & ASSOCIATES,

Defendant.

CASE No. 16-cv-03396-YGR

CLASS ACTION

VERDICT FORM

United States District Court
Northern District of California

1 WE, THE JURY IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED CASE, unanimously render the following
2 verdicts in accordance with the instructions provided by the Court:

3 **A. On Plaintiff Perez's individual claims under the Telephone Consumer Protection**
4 **Act:**

5 1. Did Rash Curtis call Plaintiff Ignacio Perez on his cellular telephone during the class
6 period with the Global Connect dialer?

7 X

8 Yes

9 No

10 If you answered "no" to Question No. 1, skip Question Nos. 2 and 3 and proceed
11 to Question No. 4. If you answered "yes" to Question No. 1, answer Question
12 Nos. 2 and 3.

13 2. How many times did Rash Curtis call Plaintiff Ignacio Perez on his cellular telephone
14 during the class period with the Global Connect dialer?

15 14

16 3. How many of the calls in your answer to Question No. 2 were made using an artificial
17 or prerecorded voice?

18 14

19 **B. On Class Members' claims under the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, with
20 respect to defendant's use of the Global Connect Dialer:**

21 4. Did Rash Curtis make calls with its Global Connect dialer to Class Members' cellular
22 telephone numbers obtained through skip-tracing during the class period without their
23 prior express consent?

24 X

25 Yes

26 No

1 **If you answered "no" to Question No. 4, skip Question Nos. 5 and 6 and proceed**
2 **to Question No. 7. If you answered "yes" to Question No. 4, answer Question Nos.**
3 **5 and 6.**

4 5. State the number of calls Rash Curtis made with its Global Connect dialer to Class
5 Members' cellular telephone numbers during the class period without their prior
6 express consent:

7 501,043

8
9 6. How many of the calls in your answer to Question No. 5 were made using an artificial
10 or prerecorded voice?

11 501,043

12
13 **C. On Class Members' claims under the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, with**
14 **respect to defendant's use of the VIC Dialer:**

15 7. Did Rash Curtis make calls with its VIC dialer to Class Members' cellular telephone
16 numbers obtained through skip-tracing during the class period without their prior
17 express consent?

18 X

19 Yes

20 No

21 **If you answered "no" to Question No. 7, skip Question Nos. 8 and 9 and proceed**
22 **to Question No. 10. If you answered "yes" to Question No. 4, answer Question**
23 **Nos. 8 and 9.**

24 8. State the number of calls Rash Curtis made with its VIC dialer to Class Members'
25 cellular telephone numbers during the class period without their prior express consent:

26 2,591

1 9. How many of the calls in your answer to Question No. 8 were made using an artificial
2 or prerecorded voice?

3 2,591

4 D. On Class Members' claims under the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, with
5 respect to defendant's use of the TCN Dialer:

6 10. Did Rash Curtis make calls with its TCN dialer to Class Members' cellular telephone
7 numbers obtained through skip-tracing during the class period without their prior
8 express consent?

9 X

10 Yes

11 No

12 If you answered "no" to Question No. 10, you are finished. If you answered "yes"
13 to Question No. 10, answer Question No. 11.

14 11. State the number of calls Rash Curtis made with its TCN dialer to Class Members'
15 cellular telephone numbers during the class period without their prior express consent:

16 31,064

17 Please sign and date this verdict form, then return to the Court.

18 Dated: 5/13/19

19 3

20 FOREPERSON NUMBER

21 FOREPERSON SIGNATURE